

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,164	03/02/2004		Eiji Kato	FY.51039US1A 3401	
20995	7590	09/05/2006		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE I 2040 MAIN	MARTENS (	PHAN, H	PHAN, HAU VAN		
	TH FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, C	A 92614		3618		

DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers			10/791,164	KATO ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	E	xaminer	Art Unit			
			łau V Phan	3618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN ions of time may be available under the provision: IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- eriod for reply specified above, the maximum s to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a munication. 30) days, a reply wit tatutory period will a y will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim thin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 17 July	2006.				
·			ction is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims						
5)	Claim(s) 1-6,8-10 and 12-37 is/are (a) Of the above claim(s) 22-30,33, Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,8-10,12-21,31-32,34-3 Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict an Papers The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected to eath or declaration is objected to	35 and 36 is/a 5,37 is/are rejection and/or enterested to the drag the correction	ted or b) objected to by the Enving(s) be held in abeyance. See	Examiner. e 37 CFR 1.85(a). dected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s			4) Thetandous Summans	(PTO 413)			
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of group I, figures 1-5, claims 1-6, 8-10, 12-21, 31-32, 34 and 37 in the reply filed on 7/10/2006 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that if the search and examination of an entire application can be made with serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes to independent or distinct invention. This is not found persuasive because the species upon initial consideration are deemed to patentably distinct from one another and only admission by application that the species are obvious variant of one another would cause the species election to be withdrawn.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 22-30, 33, 35-36 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 7/10/2006.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States

only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-4, 6, 8-10, 12-21, 31-32, 34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsuura et al. (6,920,949).

Masuura et al. in figures 1-13, disclose an off-road vehicle comprising a frame, a plurality of wheels (64, 66) arranged to support the frame, an internal combustion engine (120) powering at least one of the wheels, and an air intake system having intake duct (502) arranged to supply air to the engine for combustion at a location above an uppermost surface of the wheels. The air intake system has an air inlet through (506), which ambient air enters the intake system. The air inlet positioned higher than an uppermost surface of the wheels, and the air intake duct extending rearwardly to the engine, at least a portion of the air intake duct being positioned lower than the uppermost surface of the wheels.

Regarding claim 2, Matsuura et al. disclose a hood (not number, see figure 2), which is configured to cover at least a front portion of the frame. The air inlet disposed below the hood.

Regarding claim 3, Matsuura et al. disclose the air intake comprising an air cleaner, which is configured to clean the air that enters through the air inlet.

Regarding claim 4, Matsuura et al. disclose at least portion of the air cleaner, which is positioned below the hood.

Regarding claim 6, Matsuura et al. disclose an off-road vehicle comprising a frame, a plurality of wheels (62, 64) arranged to support the frame, a hood (not number, see figure 2) configured to cover at least a first portion of the frame, an internal

Application/Control Number: 10/791,164

Art Unit: 3618

combustion engine (120) powering at least one of the wheels, and an air intake system arranged to supply air to an intake port of the engine for combustion. The air intake system comprises an air cleaner (144) configured to clean the air and an air delivery conduit arranged to deliver the air in the air cleaner to the engine. The air cleaner disposed below a central portion of the hood.

Regarding claim 8, Matsuura et al. disclose the engine having an air intake port communicating with a combustion chamber of the engine and the air delivery conduit connects the air cleaner to the air intake port.

Regarding claim 9, Matsuura et al. disclose the air delivery conduit comprising a throttle body that has a throttle valve to regulate an amount of air passing to the combustion.

Regarding claim 10, Matsuura et al. disclose the air delivery conduit including an accumulator disposed between the throttle body and the balance of the intake duct, an inner diameter of the accumulator is greater than an inner diameter of the rest of the delivery conduit.

Regarding claim 12, Matsuura et al. disclose a seat unit disposed on the second portion of the frame. The engine and the seat unit are positioned next to each other.

Regarding claim 15, Matsuura et al. disclose at least a portion of the air delivery conduit, which is positioned higher than the air cleaner portion.

Regarding claim 16, Matsuura et al. disclose at least a portion of the air cleaner that is positioned higher than the wheels.

Regarding claim 17, Matsuura et al. disclose at least a portion of the air cleaner that is positioned higher than the wheels.

Regarding claim 18, Matsuura et al. disclose a seat unit (50) that defines a surface onto which a driver or passenger of the vehicle sits. The surface positioned higher than the wheels, and at least a portion of the air cleaner is positioned higher than the surface.

Regarding claim 19, Matsuura et al. disclose the air cleaner having an air inlet and at least the air inlet is positioned higher than the surface.

Regarding claim 20, Matsuura et al. disclose the air cleaner having an air inlet and at least the air inlet is positioned higher than the wheels.

Regarding claim 21, Matsuura et al. disclose the hood additionally covers at least one of the wheels.

Regarding claim 31, Matsuura et al. disclose an off-road vehicle comprising a frame, a plurality of wheels arranged to support the frame, at least one seat supported by the frame, an internal combustion engine (120) powering at least one of the wheels, and an air intake system arranged to supply air to the engine. The air intake system comprises an air cleaner configured to filter the air. The seat being disposed in a fore to aft direction on the vehicle such that the air cleaner lies forward of the seat and at least a portion of the engine lies behind the seat.

Regarding claim 32, Matsuura et al. disclose the air intake system delivers supply air to at least one combustion chamber of the engine.

Regarding claim 34, Matsuura et al. disclose the air intake system delivers supply air to at least one combustion chamber of the engine.

Regarding claim 37, Matsuura et al. disclose at least a portion of one combustion chamber of the engine, which is positioned rearwardly of the seat.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hau V Phan whose telephone number is 571-272-6696. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on 571-272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,164

Art Unit: 3618

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hau V Phan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3618

-Houghon 8/22/06